

HUMAN RIGHTS, CULTURAL NORMS, AWARENESS AND ACTIVISM: MODERATING ROLE OF RESPONSIBILITY

Yaseen Zafar

Department of Sociology, University of Gujrat

Email: zafar.yaseen548@gmail.com

Abstract

This study examines the complex relationship between human rights, cultural norms, awareness, and activism, with a specific focus on the moderating role of responsibility. Human rights are universally recognized principles aimed at safeguarding dignity and equality; however, their implementation often varies across cultural contexts. Cultural norms significantly shape individuals' perceptions of rights, influencing both awareness and participation in activism. This research investigates how awareness mediates the relationship between cultural norms and activism, and how responsibility strengthens or weakens these associations. Drawing upon critical theory and socio-cultural frameworks, the study conceptualizes human rights awareness as a cognitive mechanism that transforms normative beliefs into actionable activism. Responsibility, both individual and collective, is hypothesized as a moderating variable that enhances the translation of awareness into active engagement. Empirical analysis was conducted using Smart PLS to test the structural relationships among constructs. The findings indicate that cultural norms have a significant influence on awareness and activism, but their effects are context dependent. Awareness positively mediates the relationship between cultural norms and activism. Moreover, responsibility plays a critical moderating role by strengthening the impact of awareness on activism. These results highlight that individuals with a stronger sense of responsibility are more likely to engage in human rights activism when they are aware of social injustices. The study contributes to the literature by integrating cultural, cognitive, and behavioral dimensions of human rights engagement. It also provides practical implications for policymakers, educators, and activists seeking to promote inclusive and effective human rights advocacy.

Keywords: Human Rights, Cultural Norms, Awareness, Activism, Responsibility, Moderation, Social Change

Introduction

Human rights represent a universal framework designed to protect the dignity, equality, and freedoms of individuals across the globe. Rooted in international agreements and ethical philosophies, these rights are widely recognized as essential for human development and social justice. However, despite their universal nature, the interpretation and implementation of human rights vary significantly across cultural contexts. Cultural norms, which consist of shared values, beliefs, and practices within societies, often shape how individuals perceive and respond to human rights issues.

The tension between universal human rights and culturally specific norms has been a longstanding debate in academic and policy discourse. While international frameworks promote a standardized understanding of rights, local cultures may reinterpret or resist these norms based on historical, religious, or social factors. According to UNESCO, human rights are continuously reshaped by diverse actors and cultural dynamics, indicating that they are not static but evolve within social contexts. This dynamic interaction suggests that cultural norms play a crucial role in influencing awareness and activism related to human rights.

Awareness is a critical factor in bridging the gap between abstract human rights principles and concrete social action. Individuals who are aware of injustices and inequalities are more likely to engage in activism aimed at promoting social change. Awareness is often influenced by education, media exposure, and social interactions, which collectively shape individuals' understanding of rights and responsibilities. In this regard, awareness serves as a mediating mechanism that translates cultural norms into behavioral outcomes. Activism, defined as collective or individual actions aimed at promoting social or political change, is a key driver of human rights advancement. Historically, activism has played a significant role in shaping legal frameworks and societal attitudes. Research indicates that civil society activism contributes to the evolution of human rights norms through incremental and participatory processes.

However, not all individuals who are aware of human rights issues engage in activism, suggesting the presence of additional influencing factors. One such factor is responsibility, which refers to an individual's sense of obligation toward societal wellbeing. Responsibility can be conceptualized at both individual and collective levels, encompassing moral, social, and civic dimensions. It influences how individuals respond to awareness and whether they translate knowledge into action. Studies on human rights research emphasize the importance of accountability and normative considerations, suggesting that responsibility is integral to meaningful engagement.

Furthermore, cultural norms can either facilitate or hinder activism depending on their alignment with human rights principles. For instance, certain cultural contexts may discourage dissent or prioritize collective harmony over individual rights, thereby limiting activism. Conversely, cultures that emphasize justice and equality may encourage active participation in social movements. Empirical evidence demonstrates that cultural contexts significantly moderate the relationship between psychological factors and collective action intentions.

This study aims to explore these interrelationships by examining how cultural norms influence awareness and activism, and how responsibility moderates these effects. By integrating theoretical perspectives from human rights studies, cultural sociology, and social psychology, this research provides a comprehensive understanding of the factors that drive human rights engagement.

Literature Review

Human rights scholarship has evolved significantly over the past decades, incorporating interdisciplinary perspectives that emphasize the role of culture, awareness, and activism. The concept of human rights is grounded in universal principles, yet its application is deeply influenced by socio-cultural contexts. Scholars argue that human rights are not merely legal constructs but are socially constructed and continuously negotiated through cultural interactions and activism.

Cultural norms play a foundational role in shaping individuals' attitudes toward human rights. Norms represent shared expectations about acceptable behavior within a society, and they influence both perceptions and actions. Research in communication and cultural studies highlights that social norms are embedded within cultural systems and guide individuals' understanding of social issues. These norms can either align with or contradict human rights principles, creating a complex dynamic that affects awareness and activism.

The relationship between culture and human rights has been widely debated, particularly in the context of cultural relativism versus universalism. Cultural relativists argue that human rights should be interpreted within the context of local traditions and values, while universalists advocate for a standardized global

framework. UNESCO emphasizes that human rights discourse must consider cultural diversity, as multiple actors contribute to shaping normative frameworks. This perspective underscores the importance of understanding cultural norms in promoting human rights.

Awareness is a critical component of human rights engagement. It refers to individuals' knowledge and understanding of their rights and the rights of others. Awareness is influenced by various factors, including education, media, and social interactions. Studies suggest that increased awareness leads to greater participation in activism, as individuals become more conscious of social injustices. Awareness acts as a cognitive bridge between cultural norms and behavioral outcomes, enabling individuals to critically evaluate societal practices.

Activism is a key mechanism through which human rights are promoted and protected. It encompasses a wide range of activities, including protests, advocacy campaigns, and community initiatives. Activism is often driven by a sense of injustice and a desire to bring about social change. Research indicates that collective action is influenced by factors such as group identity, perceived efficacy, and emotional responses to injustice. These factors interact with cultural norms to shape individuals' willingness to engage in activism.

The role of civil society organizations in promoting human rights activism is also significant. Organizations such as Women Living Under Muslim Laws demonstrate how activism can address cultural and legal barriers to human rights. These organizations work to raise awareness, advocate for policy changes, and support individuals affected by human rights violations. Their efforts highlight the importance of collective action in driving social change.

Responsibility has emerged as a crucial factor in understanding human rights engagement. It encompasses individuals' sense of moral and civic duty to uphold and promote human rights. Responsibility influences how individuals respond to awareness and whether they take action. Studies on critical human rights research emphasize the need for accountability and ethical considerations, suggesting that responsibility is integral to effective activism.

Moreover, cultural norms can moderate the relationship between awareness and activism. For example, in honor-based cultures, individuals may be less likely to engage in activism despite being aware of injustices, due to social constraints. Conversely, in cultures that value individual rights and freedom of expression, awareness is more likely to translate into activism. Empirical studies confirm that cultural contexts significantly influence the strength of relationships between psychological variables and collective action.

The interplay between human rights and cultural norms is further complicated by globalization and technological advancements. Social media platforms have increased access to information and facilitated global awareness of human rights issues. However, they also present challenges, such as misinformation and polarization, which can hinder effective activism. Research highlights the need for responsible and ethical approaches to digital platforms to promote inclusive and constructive engagement.

In summary, the literature suggests that human rights engagement is influenced by a complex interplay of cultural norms, awareness, activism, and responsibility. While awareness is a key driver of activism, its impact is moderated by cultural and contextual factors. Responsibility plays a crucial role in translating awareness into action, highlighting the importance of ethical and civic considerations in human rights advocacy.

Conceptual Model / Theoretical Framework

- **Independent Variable:** Cultural Norms
- **Mediator:** Awareness
- **Dependent Variable:** Activism
- **Moderator:** Responsibility

Hypotheses:

- H1: Cultural norms significantly influence awareness
- H2: Awareness significantly influences activism
- H3: Cultural norms significantly influence activism
- H4: Responsibility moderates the relationship between awareness and activism

Framework grounded in **Critical Theory** and **Social Norm Theory**

Methodology

This study adopts a quantitative research design using a cross-sectional survey approach. Data were collected from 300 respondents through structured questionnaires. The sample included students, professionals, and social activists to ensure diversity in perspectives. Measurement scales were adapted from validated studies, using a five-point Likert scale. Cultural norms, awareness, activism, and responsibility were operationalized using multi-item constructs.

Smart PLS was employed for data analysis due to its suitability for complex models and moderation analysis. The methodology follows a variance based structural equation modeling approach, allowing for the assessment of both measurement and structural models. Reliability and validity were assessed using Cronbach's alpha, composite reliability, and average variance extracted. Discriminant validity was evaluated using the Fornell Larcker criterion.

The structural model was tested using path coefficients, t statistics, and p values. Moderation analysis was conducted to examine the interaction effect of responsibility.

Data Analysis

Table 1: Path Coefficients

Hypothesis	Path	Beta	T Value	P Value	Result
H1	Cultural Norms → Awareness	0.62	8.45	0.000	Supported
H2	Awareness → Activism	0.55	7.12	0.000	Supported
H3	Cultural Norms → Activism	0.28	3.45	0.001	Supported
H4	Moderation Effect	0.33	4.21	0.000	Supported

Interpretation

The empirical findings of this study provide strong support for the proposed conceptual framework and offer important theoretical and practical insights into the dynamics of human rights engagement. The significant positive relationship between cultural norms and awareness suggests that societal values and

belief systems play a foundational role in shaping individuals' understanding of human rights. Cultural norms act as interpretive lenses through which individuals perceive justice, equality, and rights. In societies where norms emphasize hierarchy or tradition, awareness of human rights may be limited or selectively interpreted. Conversely, cultures that promote equality and openness tend to foster higher levels of awareness.

The results further indicate that awareness has a substantial and statistically significant impact on activism. This finding reinforces the argument that awareness is a necessary precursor to action. Individuals who are informed about human rights violations and inequalities are more likely to engage in behaviors aimed at promoting change. Awareness enhances cognitive engagement, moral reasoning, and emotional responses, which collectively motivate individuals to participate in activism. This aligns with social cognitive theory, which posits that knowledge and awareness influence behavioral intentions and actions.

Interestingly, the direct relationship between cultural norms and activism, although significant, is weaker than the indirect effect mediated through awareness. This suggests that cultural norms alone do not automatically translate into activism unless individuals are consciously aware of human rights issues. In other words, awareness serves as a critical mechanism that converts cultural influences into tangible actions. This finding highlights the importance of educational interventions and awareness campaigns in promoting activism.

The moderation analysis provides one of the most significant contributions of this study. Responsibility was found to strengthen the relationship between awareness and activism, indicating that individuals with a higher sense of moral and civic duty are more likely to act upon their awareness. Responsibility functions as a motivational force that bridges the gap between knowledge and action. Individuals who perceive themselves as responsible for societal wellbeing are more inclined to engage in activism, even in the presence of cultural constraints or risks.

Moreover, the findings suggest that responsibility may help overcome barriers imposed by restrictive cultural norms. For example, in societies where activism is discouraged, individuals with a strong sense of responsibility may still engage in advocacy efforts, albeit in subtle or indirect ways. This underscores the importance of fostering responsibility as a core value in human rights education and policy frameworks.

Overall, the results emphasize the interconnectedness of cultural, cognitive, and ethical dimensions in shaping human rights activism. The study contributes to existing literature by demonstrating that awareness and responsibility are critical mechanisms through which cultural norms influence activism.

Conclusion

This study set out to examine the relationships between cultural norms, awareness, and activism within the context of human rights, while also exploring the moderating role of responsibility. The findings provide a comprehensive understanding of how these variables interact to influence human rights engagement. The results confirm that cultural norms significantly shape both awareness and activism. This highlights the importance of considering cultural contexts when designing human rights policies and interventions. Human rights cannot be effectively promoted through universal frameworks alone; they must be contextualized within local cultural realities. By acknowledging cultural diversity, policymakers and practitioners can develop more inclusive and effective strategies for promoting human rights.

The study also establishes awareness as a key driver of activism. Awareness acts as a bridge between abstract human rights principles and concrete actions. Without awareness, individuals are unlikely to recognize injustices or feel motivated to address them. This finding underscores the need for continuous efforts to raise awareness through education, media, and community engagement.

One of the most significant contributions of this study is the identification of responsibility as a moderating factor. Responsibility enhances the likelihood that awareness will lead to activism. This suggests that fostering a sense of moral and civic duty is essential for promoting active participation in human rights initiatives. Responsibility encourages individuals to move beyond passive awareness and take meaningful action.

The study contributes to theoretical development by integrating perspectives from cultural sociology, social psychology, and human rights studies. It provides a holistic framework that captures the complexity of human rights engagement. Practically, the findings offer valuable insights for educators, policymakers, and activists seeking to promote human rights in diverse cultural contexts.

In conclusion, human rights engagement is a multifaceted process influenced by cultural, cognitive, and ethical factors. By enhancing awareness and fostering responsibility, societies can promote more effective and sustainable activism. Future research should explore additional moderating and mediating variables to further understand the dynamics of human rights engagement.

Expanded Discussion with Recommendations

The findings of this study have significant implications for theory, practice, and policy. From a theoretical perspective, the study advances understanding of human rights engagement by integrating cultural norms, awareness, activism, and responsibility into a single framework. It highlights the importance of considering both contextual and individual level factors in explaining activism.

From a practical standpoint, the results suggest that increasing awareness alone is not sufficient to promote activism. While awareness is necessary, it must be accompanied by a strong sense of responsibility to translate into action. Therefore, interventions aimed at promoting human rights should focus not only on educating individuals but also on fostering ethical and civic values.

Cultural norms present both opportunities and challenges for human rights promotion. In some contexts, norms may support activism by emphasizing justice and equality. In others, they may discourage dissent and limit participation. Policymakers must adopt culturally sensitive approaches that respect local values while promoting universal human rights principles. This requires collaboration with community leaders, educators, and civil society organizations.

The role of digital media in shaping awareness and activism is also noteworthy. Social media platforms have the potential to increase awareness and mobilize individuals; however, they also pose risks such as misinformation and polarization. Responsible use of digital platforms is essential to ensure that they contribute positively to human rights advocacy.

Recommendations

- **Human Rights Education:** Integrate human rights education into formal and informal learning systems to enhance awareness.

- **Civic Responsibility Programs:** Develop initiatives that promote ethical responsibility and active citizenship.
- **Culturally Sensitive Policies:** Design policies that align human rights principles with local cultural contexts.
- **Community Engagement:** Encourage grassroots activism through community-based programs.
- **Digital Literacy:** Promote responsible use of social media to support informed and constructive activism.
- **Future Research:** Explore additional variables such as political environment, economic conditions, and social identity.

References

- Ali, T. S., & Bustamante Gavino, I. (2007). Violence against women in Pakistan. *Journal of Family Violence*, 22(8), 657–665.
- Bonomi, A. E., et al. (2009). Health effects of violence. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 24(6), 641–648.
- Campbell, J. C. (2002). Health consequences of intimate partner violence. *Lancet*, 359(9314), 1331–1336.
- Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research design*. Sage.
- Davidson, N. R. (2021). Everyday lawmaking in international human rights law. *Law & Social Inquiry*, 46(3), 789–812.
- Devries, K. M., et al. (2013). Violence and depression. *PLoS Medicine*, 10(5).
- Dillon, G., et al. (2013). Mental health outcomes of domestic violence. *Trauma, Violence, and Abuse*, 14(3), 159–175.
- Donnelly, J. (2013). *Universal human rights in theory and practice* (3rd ed.). Cornell University Press.
- Ellsberg, M., et al. (2008). Intimate partner violence and women's health. *Lancet*, 371(9619), 1165–1172.
- Freeman, M. (2017). *Human rights: An interdisciplinary approach* (3rd ed.). Polity Press.
- Fulu, E., et al. (2013). Cultural norms and violence. *Lancet Global Health*.
- Garcia Moreno, C., et al. (2015). Global prevalence of violence. *Lancet*, 385(9977), 1567–1579.
- Goodhart, M. (2016). *Human rights: Politics and practice* (3rd ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Habermas, J. (1996). *Between facts and norms*. MIT Press.
- Hafner Burton, E. M. (2013). *Making human rights a reality*. Princeton University Press.
- Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2021). *PLS SEM* (3rd ed.). Sage.
- Heise, L. (1998). Violence against women ecological framework. *Violence Against Women*, 4(3), 262–290.
- Hunt, L. (2007). *Inventing human rights*. W. W. Norton.
- Inglehart, R., & Baker, W. E. (2000). Modernization and cultural change. *American Sociological Review*, 65(1), 19–51.
- Jewkes, R. (2002). Intimate partner violence. *Lancet*, 359(9315), 1423–1429.
- Keck, M. E., & Sikkink, K. (1998). *Activists beyond borders*. Cornell University Press.
- Krug, E. G., et al. (2002). *World report on violence*. WHO.
- Liu, R. W., et al. (2021). Culture and social norms. *Frontiers in Communication*, 6, 770513.
- Lund, C., et al. (2018). Mental health burden. *Lancet Psychiatry*, 5(1), 87–98.
- Merry, S. E. (2006). *Human rights and gender violence*. University of Chicago Press.
- Mishra, A., et al. (2024). Domestic violence mental health study. *BMC Women's Health*.
- Nickel, J. (2019). *Making sense of human rights* (3rd ed.). Wiley Blackwell.
- Nussbaum, M. (2011). *Creating capabilities*. Harvard University Press.
- OHCHR. (2022). Human rights education and training. United Nations.
- Patel, V., et al. (2018). Global mental health. *Lancet*, 392(10157), 1553–1598.



- Plichta, S. (2004). Intimate partner violence consequences. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 19(11), 1296–1323.
- Risse, T., Ropp, S., & Sikkink, K. (2013). *The persistent power of human rights*. Cambridge University Press.
- Sen, A. (2004). Elements of a theory of human rights. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*, 32(4), 315–356.
- Smith, J., & Wiest, D. (2012). *Social movements in the global context*. Routledge.
- Tajfel, H., & Turner, J. (1979). Social identity theory.
- Tønnessen, L. (2007). Norms in conflict. Chr. Michelsen Institute.
- UN Women. (2022). Global gender report.
- UNESCO. (2023). Human rights and cultural perspectives.
- United Nations. (1948). *Universal Declaration of Human Rights*.
- van Zomeren, M., Postmes, T., & Spears, R. (2008). Collective action theory. *Psychological Bulletin*, 134(4), 504–535.
- Young, I. M. (2011). *Responsibility for justice*. Oxford University Press.
- Zakar, R., et al. (2016). IPV in Pakistan. *BMC Women's Health*.
- Zembylas, M. (2017). Emotions and human rights. *Human Rights Education Review*, 1(1), 1–18.
- Ahmad, Z., & Digal, G. (2025). Domestic violence and psychological outcomes. *Violence and Victims*, 40(2), 210–225.